IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 05-cv-329-GKF(PJC)
)	
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants	.)	

STATE OF OKLAHOMA'S RESPONSE TO "DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE PLAINTIFFS [sic] FROM REFERRING TO OR IDENTIFYING POULTRY OPERATIONS IN THE ILLINOIS RIVER WATERSHED AS CONCENTRATED ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS OR 'CAFOS'" [DKT #2404]

Plaintiff, the State of Oklahoma ("the State"), respectfully requests that the Court deny "Defendants' Joint Motion *in Limine* to Preclude Plaintiffs [sic] from Referring to or Identifying Poultry Operations in the Illinois River Watershed as Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations or 'CAFOs'" [DKT #2404] for the reasons that follow.

I. Defendants' Fed. R. Evid. 402 Objection

First, Defendants raise an objection to use of the term "concentrated animal feeding operations" on Fed. R. Evid. 402 grounds. Defendants argue that because the State has dismissed its claim based upon violations of the Oklahoma Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Act (Count 9), all use of the term "concentrated animal feeding operations" in these proceedings is irrelevant. Defendants are wrong.

Notwithstanding their requested relief, at page 4 of their Motion, Defendants assert that they should be permitted to make reference to a provision in the Oklahoma Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Act ("CAFO Act"), which provides that "any animal feeding operation *may* be designated [by the State Board of Agriculture] as a concentrated animal feeding operation if it is determined to be a significant contributor of pollution to the waters of the state." *See* 2 Okla. Stat. § 20-44(C)(1) (emphasis added). If anything, such reference to the CAFO Act by Defendants will cause confusion, because nothing in the language of this provision *requires* that

At the outset, however, the State wants to be clear. Given its dismissal of Count 9, the State has no intention of offering evidence or arguing (1) that any given poultry operation in the Illinois River Watershed ("IRW") falls within the statutory definition of a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation as that term is defined in the CAFO Act, or (2) that any such operation is regulated under the terms of the CAFO Act. Nor does the State intend to refer to any poultry operation in the IRW as a "Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation" as that term is defined in the CAFO Act. However, referring to poultry operations in the IRW as "concentrated animal feeding operations" in the generic sense, and without any reference to the Oklahoma Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Act and its technical definition, is a perfectly relevant description.

The fact of the matter is that poultry operations in the IRW involve concentrating large flocks of birds in poultry houses for the purpose of growing those birds to a desired weight range. A modern poultry house is, on average, populated by a flock of between 20,000 and 25,000 birds, and between five and six flocks generally pass through a house annually. *See* DKT #2076-3 (Fisher P.I. Test., p. 409). There are approximately 1,917 active poultry houses in the IRW. *See* DKT #2076-2 (Fisher 9/3/08 Depo., p. 143). The IRW contains some of the most concentrated poultry feeding activity in the country. *See*, *e.g.*, DKT #2076-4. Referring to such operations as "concentrated animal feeding operations" in a generic sense -- as opposed to in a statutory definitional sense -- is an accurate description and characterization that should be permitted.

animal feeding operations which are significant contributors of pollution but which fall outside the statutory definition of a "Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation" -- as is the case here -- must be designated as Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations under the CAFO Act.

² The term Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation is defined in 2 Okla. Stat. § 20-41(b)(11).

II. Defendants' Fed. R. Evid. 403 Objection

Second, Defendants raise an objection to use of the term "concentrated animal feeding operations" on Fed. R. Evid. 403 grounds. Defendants first argue that because the State has dismissed Count 9, use of the term will confuse the trier of fact. Given, however, that the State has no intention of referring to the CAFO Act's statutory definition of Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations or asserting that any poultry operations in the IRW fall within that definition or otherwise are regulated by the CAFO Act, there are no grounds for confusion.

Defendants next argue that use of the term will be misleading and cause unfair prejudice because the term "invokes negative connotations and images of a farm or operation inconsistent with the actual nature of the majority of independent [sic] contract grower operations in the IRW." *See* Motion, p. 4. As explained above, however, the generic descriptor "concentrated animal feeding operation" is entirely accurate. In reality, by seeking to preclude the State's use of this term, it is Defendants who are seeking to mislead the trier of fact. The poultry operations at issue are not the pastoral farms of old. They are factory farms. By not being able to refer to these poultry operations as concentrated animal feeding operations, the State would be deprived of a useful and accurate descriptor, which would unfairly prejudice the State.

III. Conclusion

WHEREFORE, in light of the foregoing, "Defendants' Joint Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiffs [sic] from Referring to or Identifying Poultry Operations in the Illinois River Watershed as Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations or 'CAFOs'" should be denied.

W.A. Drew Edmondson OBA # 2628 ATTORNEY GENERAL Kelly H. Burch OBA #17067 ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL State of Oklahoma 313 N.E. 21st St. Oklahoma City, OK 73105 (405) 521-3921

M. David Riggs OBA #7583
Joseph P. Lennart OBA #5371
Richard T. Garren OBA #3253
Sharon K. Weaver OBA #19010
Robert A. Nance OBA #6581
D. Sharon Gentry OBA #15641
David P. Page OBA #6852
RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN,
ORBISON & LEWIS
502 West Sixth Street
Tulsa, OK 74119
(918) 587-3161

Louis W. Bullock OBA #1305 Robert M. Blakemore OBA 18656 BULLOCK, BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE 110 West Seventh Street Suite 707 Tulsa OK 74119 (918) 584-2001

/s/ Ingrid L. Moll

William H. Narwold (admitted *pro hac vice*) Ingrid L. Moll (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE LLC 20 Church Street, 17th Floor Hartford, CT 06103 (860) 882-1678 Frederick C. Baker (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Elizabeth C. Ward (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Elizabeth Claire Xidis (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MOTLEY RICE LLC
28 Bridgeside Boulevard
Mount Pleasant, SC 29465 (843) 216-9280

Jonathan D. Orent (admitted *pro hac vice*) Michael G. Rousseau (admitted *pro hac vice*) Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE LLC 321 South Main Street Providence, RI 02940 (401) 457-7700

Attorneys for the State of Oklahoma

I hereby certify that on this 20th day of August, 2009, I electronically transmitted the above and foregoing pleading to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and a transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General	fc_docket@oag.state.ok.us		
Kelly H. Burch, Assistant Attorney General	kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us		
M. David Riggs	driggs@riggsabney.com		
Joseph P. Lennart	jlennart@riggsabney.com		
Richard T. Garren	rgarren@riggsabney.com		
Sharon K. Weaver	sweaver@riggsabney.com		
Robert A. Nance	rnance@riggsabney.com		
D. Sharon Gentry	sgentry@riggsabney.com		
David P. Page	dpage@riggsabney.com		
RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, ORBISON & LEWIS			
Louis Werner Bullock	lbullock@bullock-blakemore.com		
Robert M. Blakemore	bblakemore@bullock-blakemore.com		
BULLOCK, BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE			
Frederick C. Baker	fbaker@motleyrice.com		
Elizabeth C. Ward	lward@motleyrice.com		
Elizabeth Claire Xidis	cxidis@motleyrice.com		
William H. Narwold	bnarwold@motleyrice.com		
Ingrid L. Moll	imoll@motleyrice.com		
Jonathan D. Orent	jorent@motleyrice.com		
Michael G. Rousseau	mrousseau@motleyrice.com		
Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick	ffitzpatrick@motleyrice.com		
MOTLEY RICE LLC			
Counsel for State of Oklahoma			
Robert P. Redemann	rredemann@pmrlaw.net		
PERRINE, MCGIVERN, REDEMANN, REID, BA	RRY & TAYLOR, P.L.L.C.		
David C. Senger	david@cgmlawok.com		
Robert E Sanders	rsanders@youngwilliams.com		
Edwin Stephen Williams	steve.williams@youngwilliams.com		
YOUNG WILLIAMS P.A.			
Counsel for Cal-Maine Farms, Inc and Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.			

Counsel for Peterson Farms, Inc.

NATIONAL CHAMBER LITIGATION CENTER				
Gary S Chilton	gchilton@hcdattorneys.com			
HOLLADAY, CHILTON AND DEGIUSTI, PLLC				
Counsel for US Chamber of Commerce and Ame	erican Tort Reform Association			
D. Kenyon Williams, Jr.	kwilliams@hallestill.com			
Michael D. Graves	mgraves@hallestill.com			
HALL, ESTILL, HARDWICK, GABLE, GOLDEN & NELSON				
Counsel for Poultry Growers/Interested Parties/	Poultry Partners, Inc.			
D' L. LE. L	.1 16 10 1 1			
Richard Ford	richard.ford@crowedunlevy.com			
LeAnne Burnett	leanne.burnett@crowedunlevy.com			
CROWE & DUNLEVY				
Counsel for Oklahoma Farm Bureau, Inc.				
Kendra Akin Jones, Assistant Attorney General	Kendra.Jones@arkansasag.gov			
Charles L. Moulton, Sr Assistant Attorney General	Charles.Moulton@arkansasag.gov			
Counsel for State of Arkansas and Arkansas National Resources Commission				
Counsel for State of Arkansas and Arkansas Nat				
Mark Richard Mullins	richard.mullins@mcafeetaft.com			
MCAFEE & TAFT				
Counsel for Texas Farm Bureau; Texas Cattle Fo	eeders Association: Texas Pork Producers			
Association and Texas Association of Dairymen				
Mia Vahlberg	mvahlberg@gablelaw.com			
GABLE GOTWALS	•			
James T. Banks	jtbanks@hhlaw.com			
Adam J. Siegel	ajsiegel@hhlaw.com			
HOGAN & HARTSON, LLP				
Counsel for National Chicken Council; U.S. Poul	try and Egg Association & National Turkey			
<u>Federation</u>				
John D. Russell	jrussell@fellerssnider.com			
FELLERS, SNIDER, BLANKENSHIP, BAILEY				
FELLERS, SNIDER, BLANKENSHIP, BAILEY & TIPPENS, PC				
	waddell@fec.net			

dchoate@fec.net			
reynolds@titushillis.com			
jrainey@titushillis.com			
njordan@lightfootlaw.com			
wcox@lightfootlaw.com			
Counsel for American Farm Bureau and National Cattlemen's Beef Association			
dberlin@levberlin.com			
Counsel for Council of American Survey Research Organizations & American Association for			
Public Opinion Research			

Also on this 20th day of August, 2009, I mailed a copy of the above and foregoing pleading to:

Thomas C Green -- via email: tcgreen@sidley.com Sidley, Austin, Brown & Wood LLP

Dustin McDaniel Justin Allen

Office of the Attorney General (Little Rock) 323 Center St, Ste 200 Little Rock, AR 72201-2610

Steven B. Randall

58185 County Rd 658 Kansas, Ok 74347

Cary Silverman -- via email: csilverman@shb.com

Victor E Schwartz

Shook Hardy & Bacon LLP (Washington DC)

/s/ Ingrid L. Moll Ingrid L. Moll